

Criterion	1	2	3	Weighting	Enter Assigned Score
1. Addresses an Important Need	Innovation is not truly unique or is unlikely to impact patients or their healthcare providers.	Innovation likely meets unique need for people with arthritis or the health professionals treating them. Applicant has clearly outlined s/he intends to or is currently measure/ing impact.	Innovation clearly meets unique need for people with arthritis or the health professionals treating them and has had a demonstrated impact.		
2. Originality	Superficial description of how the innovation is unique or it is new to Canada/province but implemented elsewhere.	Description of how/why the innovation is unique is mostly clear but could be more detailed and/or has been adapted from another patient population or setting.	Description suggests the innovation is truly novel and the applicant has a clear understanding of how the innovation will advance their goals / change practice.		
3. Scientific Merit	Innovation is either poorly/unthoroughly described or there is no valid measure of impact planned/available.	Innovation is reasonably well described, however, there are some gaps that might be of concern or there is no valid measure of impact.	Innovation is clearly and thoroughly described, including target audience, aim/purpose, valid measure of impact.		
4. Style	Multiple grammatical errors and/or some confusing language	Few if any grammatical errors and/or language is somewhat vague and/or colloquial	No grammatical errors AND written in a clear concise manner; professional		